

Y OF PAPERS ALLY FILED

PATENT

Docket No. 20784/6

HE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:

Hans-Ulrich Demuth, Torsten Hoffmann, Dagmar Schlenzig and

Ulrich Heiser

Application No.:

10/082,001

Examiner:

Unknown

Filed:

February 22, 2002

Group:

1646

For:

New Effectors of Dipeptidyl Peptidase IV for Topical

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on:

Deborah Celeste

TRANSMITTAL OF ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

COPY OF PAPERS ORIGINALLY FILED

Sir:

The above-referenced patent application claims priority, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §120, from PCT/EP 00/08118, filed on August 20, 2000 which also claims priority pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §119 to DE 199 40 130.6 filed on August 24, 1999. To perfect this claim of priority, Applicant hereby submits a copy of the English Translation of the International Preliminary

Examination Report.

Respectfully submitted

RECEIVED

By:

OCT 0.8 2002

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

Mark A. Hofer

Reg. No. 30,068

Attorney for Applicants

Customer Number: 21710

Brown Rudnick Berlack Israels, LLC

One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111

Tel: 617-856-8327

Fax: 617-856-8201

#1111006 - 20784/6

ENT COOPERATION TREATY

JUN 0 5 2002

PCT

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

ICU INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

7

CENT & THIS				
Applicant's or agent's file ./.	reference	FOR FURTHER AC	THAN	cation of Transmittal of International Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
International application N	lo.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/EP00/0	8118	20 August 200	0 (20.08.00)	24 August 1999 (24.08.99)
International Patent Classic C07C237/00	fication (IPC) or na	ational classification and	IPC	
Applicant		PROBIODE	RUG AG	
Authority and is to	ransmitted to the ap	pplicant according to Art	cicle 36.	International Preliminary Examining
2. This REPORT con	nsists of a total of	8 sheets, i	ncluding this cover s	heet.
been ame	nded and are the ba		sheets containing re	tion, claims and/or drawings which have ectifications made before this Authority the PCT).
These and	nexes consist of a to	otal of si	neets.	
3. This report contain	ns indications relat	ting to the following iten	ns:	
$_{\mathrm{I}} \boxtimes$	Basis of the report			
п 🛛	Priority			•
III 🔲	Non-establishment	t of opinion with regard t	o novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability
IV 🛛	Lack of unity of in	vention		
v 🛛	Reasoned statement citations and expla	nt under Article 35(2) with antions supporting such	th regard to novelty, statement	inventive step or industrial applicability;
vı 🛛	Certain documents	cited		
VII 🕅	Certain defects in t	the international applicat	ion	
	Certain observation	ns on the international ap	plication	
			·	
Date of submission of the	demand		Date of completion	of this report
12 Ma	rch 2001 (12.03	3.01)	16 No	ovember 2001 (16.11.2001)
Name and mailing addres	s of the IPEA/EP		Authorized officer	
Facsimile No.	•		Telephone No.	

Internation	application No.
PC	CT/EP00/08118

I. Basis o	of the	e report				
1. This re	eport Articl	has been drawn o	on the basis of in this report a	(Replacement sheet as "originally filed"	s which have been furnished to and are not annexed to the re	the receiving Office in response to an invitation report since they do not contain amendments.):
. [the international	application as	s originally filed.		
	\boxtimes	the description,	pages	1-20	_, as originally filed,	
			pages		_, filed with the demand,	
			pages		_, filed with the letter of .	,
			pages		_, filed with the letter of .	
[\boxtimes	the claims,	Nos	1-14, 20-27	_, as originally filed,	
_					, as amended under Article	e 19,
			Nos.		_, filed with the demand,	
			Nos	15-19	_, filed with the letter of	03 October 2001 (03.10.2001) ,
	\boxtimes	the drawings,	sheets/fig _	1/1	_, as originally filed,	
_					_, filed with the demand,	
			sheets/fig _		_, filed with the letter of	
2. The an	nendr	ments have resulte	ed in the cance	ellation of:		
ſ		the description,	pages			
ſ						·
ſ		•				
=		.				
3. 🔲 7	This i	report has been es	tablished as if	f (some of) the ame	endments had not been mad Supplemental Box (Rule 70	de, since they have been considered
-	5-	boyona me alocio	Suic as ince,	as mulcated in the	Supplemental box (Rule A	0.2(c)).
4. Additic	onal c	observations, if ne	cessary:			
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
						·
						71,
						İ

Internatio application No.
PCT/EP 00/08118

Supp	lemental	Box
------	----------	-----

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: I

I Amendments (PCT Rule 70)

The applicant has excluded Examples 2 and 3 of document D2 from Claim 15 by means of disclaimers.

These disclaimers are allowed.

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

PCT/EP00/08118

II. Priority
1. This report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the failure to furnish within the prescribed time limit the requested:
copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed.
translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed.
2. This report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid.
Thus for the purposes of this report, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3. Additional observations, if necessary:
See annex

Internation plication No.
PCT/EP 00/08118

Supplemental Bo

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: II

II Priority (PCT Article 8)

The priority claim of the present application is valid, having regard to the filing date of the German patent application 19940130.6 (24.08.1999).

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

PCT/EP00/08118

IV. Lack of unity of invention
1. In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has:
restricted the claims.
paid additional fees.
paid additional fees under protest.
neither restricted nor paid additional fees.
This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.
3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
complied with.
not complied with for the following reasons:
·
4. Consequently, the following parts of the international application were the subject of international preliminary examination in establishing this report:
all parts.
the parts relating to claims Nos

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: IV

IV Unity of invention (PCT Rule 13)

The following groups of claims are identified:

- A) compounds of general formula A(B)-(C), and the use thereof (Claims 1 14), and
- B) compositions containing a compound of general formula A(B)-(C) and an adjuvant, and the use thereof (Claims 15 27).

These groups are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (PCT Rule 13.1) for the following reasons:

Both the above-mentioned groups contain a compound of general formula A(B)-(C). However, the definition of B in Claim 1 is inconsistent with that of Claim 15. The compounds defined in Claim 1 are therefore not identical to those of Claim 15. Consequently, these claims lack unity of invention (PCT Rule 13).

In the following explanations, reference is therefore made to Invention I (claims 1 - 14) and Invention II (Claims

15 - 27).

Internat application No.
PCT/EP 00/08118

. Reasoned statement under Article 3 citations and explanations supporting		elty, inventive step or industrial applicabili	ty;
. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	Inventions I & II	YES
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	Inventions I & II	YES
	Claims		NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	Inventions I & II	YES.
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations

Explanation (PCT Rule 66(2)).

Subject matter of the present application.

A compound of general formula A(B)-(C), a composition containing a compound of general formula A(B)-(C), and the use thereof in drugs for the topical treatment of diseases in which the activity of DPP-IV is important.

Citations (PCT Rule 64.1)

D1: WO-A-95/34538. D2: WO-A-95/15309.

D1 discloses the purification of DPP-IV and inhibitors of DPP-IV. The inhibitors of D1 are C-terminal pyrrolidine-modified amino acids of general formula A-C. The difference between the inhibitors known from D1 and the inhibitors presented in the present application is that the side chain of the amino acid is not modified.

The DPP-IV inhibitors of D2 are of general formula A(B)-(C). The amino acids Glu, Lys and Thr cited in Examples 2 to 4 are modified both on the C terminus with a pyrrolidine group and on the side chain. Furthermore, compounds 55 and 125 disclosed in D2 are glycine-modified

. . . / . . .

(Continuation of V.2)

compounds of formula Glu(Gly)-pyrrolidine and Lys(Gly)-pyrrolidine. Example 2 shows a compound which is covered by the definition of the general formula A(B)-(C).

Novelty (PCT Article 33(2)).

Invention I:

The compounds of Invention I are regarded as novel in relation to D1 and D2.

Invention II:

Examples 2 and 3 of D2 are excluded by means of disclaimer from the subject matter of Claim 15. The subject matter of Invention II is therefore regarded as novel.

Inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

Inventions I & II:

There are no hints of any kind in D1 and D2 as to how a person skilled in the art should adapt the known compounds in order to improve the activity in drugs which are intended solely for topical applications.

The presence of an inventive step in Inventions I & II is confirmed.

<u>Industrial applicability (PCT Article 33(4)).</u>

The PCT Contracting States do not have uniform criteria for assessing the industrial applicability of the subjects of Claims 11 - 14 and 25 - 27 in their present form.

Patentability may depend on the wording of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize the industrial applicability of claims to the medical use of a compound; it does, however, allow claims to the first medical use of a known compound or to the use of such a compound in the manufacture of a drug for a new medical application.

International application No.

PCT/EP00/08118

VI. Certa	ain documents cited							
1. Certai	1. Certain published documents (Rule 70.10)							
	Application No. Patent No.	Publication (day/month)	date (year)	Filing date (day/month/year)		Priority date (valid claim) (day/month/year)		
					-			
		•						
				•	•			
2. Non-w	vritten disclosures (Rule 70.9	9)						
	Kind of non-written disclo	esure	Date of non-writte (day/month/		referring to	f written disclosure o non-written disclosure ay/month/year)		
+								
S	ee annex							

International application No.
PCT/EP 00/08118

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: VI

VI Cited documents (PCT Rule 64.3).

D3: DE-A-198 26 972 23 December 1999.

D3 discloses DPP-IV inhibitors of formula A(B)-(C) and the use thereof in drugs. Claims 5 - 9 disclose the use of inhibitors which are covered by the description of Claim 15 of the present application (e.g.,

NE-4-nitrobenzyloxycarbonyl-L-Lys-thiazolidide).

D3 is therefore prejudicial to novelty for Claims 15 - 27 (Invention II).

International application No. PCT/EP 00/08118

VII. Certain defects in the international applicat	VII.	Certain	defects	in the	international	application
--	------	---------	---------	--------	---------------	-------------

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

Observations concerning the description (PCT Article 5, PCT Rule 9).

The applicant has forgotten to delete an obviously internal note from the description ['Should this not be A?]' (page 7, line 6).

International application No. PCT/EP 00/08118

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Observations on the claims (PCT Article 6).

Claims 2-4, 6 and 20 are not supported by the description. Only compositions are mentioned on page 5 (lines 10-13), but not compounds A(B)-C according to Claim 1.